

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/355,268	04/13/2000	Nicholas Dominic Wells	50060-034	6198
7	590 01/04/2005		EXAM	INER
McDermott Will & Emery			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
600 13th Street	t NW			
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
J ,			2655	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/355,268	WELLS ET AL.			
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated and abandonent which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offifiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelNOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
∑ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	c(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered and an w or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		SUSAN MCFADDEN PRIMARY EXAMINER			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Davis et al reference (5583962) can still be read on the newly amended claim languages --> the deformatter breaks up the signal into the encoded audio information and auxiliary data; the decoder decodes the audio data, and the converter (1070; which can be construed as a type of encoder) uses the auxiliary data with the decoded audio data to prepare the bits for the inverse filter bank. Examiner notes that further defining how the auxiliary data is communicated and used in the second part of the encoding process may overcome the Davis reference. Examiner also notes that that proposed amended claim language will be entered (the amendment only re-defines what was previously presented in the claims).